UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/631,103	07/31/2003	Anthony J. Hynes	PREC-3612	PREC-3612 7750	
5409 SCHMEISER	7590 07/10/2007 OLSEN & WATTS		EXAM	EXAMINER	
22 CENTURY HILL DRIVE		BRINSON,	BRINSON, PATRICK F		
SUITE 302 LATHAM, NY	7 12110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3754	• .	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ı		A
ı	- /	n
1	1	۰

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/631,103	HYNES ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Patrick F. Brinson	3754			

	1 atriok i . Brillson	0,04	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	,
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	1 136(a) and the appropria	ite extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of r than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two mont	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS  2. The granded amendment(s) filed offer a final relication.			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see I		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · ·	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	` .
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-10,13-22 and 29. Claim(s) objected to: 34. Claim(s) rejected: 23,25,26 and 31-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affi	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_
	To	Patrick F. Brinson	אמפימונדי
		i dulok i . Dillistii	

Patrick F. Brinson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3754 Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 31 was objected to previously, but now that the limitations have been clarified, it is believed that it is not patentable in view of the Haussmann reference as well as previously rejected claims 23, 25 and 26, wherein the Haussmann reference discloses a peristaltic dispening device having a base, a material line reservoir between the base and a movable metering device to precisely dispence the material.